Global Inequality: where do we stand?

François Bourguignon Paris School of Economics

LIS 40th Anniversary, Luxembourg

Introduction

- Broad literature on global inequality i.e. *inequality among world citizens* already surveyed 15 years ago by Anand and Segal (2008) but which keeps expanding, most notably through the work of Milanovic.
- Various objectives:
 - Global social justice, e.g. UN's millenium declaration (MDGs, SDGs)
 - Analytic (growth convergence/divergence, effects of globalization, ..)
- Various concepts of global inequality: global vs. between/within, population weighted vs. 'one flag one observation', relative vs. absolute inequality, global poverty, ...
- Major methodological hurdles:
 - availability of income distribution data, comparability across countries (and over time), PPPcorrection of exchange rates, representativeness of survey samples, under-sampling/reporting ...
- Conflicting views about the evolution of global inequality, although the dominant view in the opinion seems to be that *global inequality has ever been increasing and keeps increasing*

This presentation

- Two recent papers attempt to describe the historical evolution of the global inequality of incomes with Imore emphasis on last 40 years:
 - L. Chancel and T. Piketty (2021), Global income inequality: 1820-2020: the persistence and mutation of extreme Inequality
 - B. Milanovic (2022), The three eras of global inequality, 1820-2020, with the focus on the past thirty years
- As they are based on different data sets, particularly interesting to see whether they show the same evolution:
 - In the 'historical' period 1820-1980, i.e. before distribution data became available in a majority of countries
 - In the last 30-40 years 1980/90 2020
- Over the recent period, the comparison is complemented by own estimates based on the Poverty and Inequality Platform (PIP) database of the World Bank (ex-Povcalnet)

Outline

- 1. Historical evolution of income inequality among world citizen: 1820-1980: an ascending trend
- 2. Recent evolution of global inequality (last three/four decades)
 - Inequality measures
 - Growth incidence curves
- 3. Some methodological issues in global inequality estimation
- 4. What's next ?

1 Historical evolution of global inequality

- Source of mean income by country: Maddison-Project series of PPP-2017 GDP per capita (GGDC)
- Source of data on decile/quintile shares:
 - Branko Milanovic (BM): Bourguignon & Morrisson (2002) estimates for selected years over 1820-1980, adjusted for more countries available in Maddison Project database
 - Chancel and Piketty (C&P): relies on more distribution estimates based on tax data (after 1910) and wealth distribution for a few countries + extrapolation over time and across countries.
 When needed, percentile shares parametrized by top income (10%) and bottom (50%) shares.
 (?)
 - Van Zanden et al. (2013), estimates based on a few direct estimates, wage/GDP per capita ratios, and height inequality + extrapolation over time and across countries + Log-normal assumption
- Results:
 - Convergence on ascending trend in 19th century although at higher level for C & P
 - Divergence after WWI : stability in C & P vs. continuing rise in BM
 - Both features easily explained by data sources, with some uncertainty over the post-WWI period

Historical estimates of global inequality



Historical evolution of national inequality: USA, UK France a) WID data



 Pre-tax national income | Top 10% | share | adults | equal split
 Pre-tax national income | Bottom 50% | share | adults | equal split Graph provided by www.wid.world



 Pre-tax national income | Top 10% | share | adults | equal split
 Pre-tax national income | Bottom 50% | share | adults | equal split Grash reovided by www.widworld

Income inequality, France, 1900-2021



 Pre-tax national income | Top 10% | share | adults | equal split
 Pre-tax national income | Bottom 50% | share | adults | equal split Grash review work work

b) B&M/BM data







2. Recent evolution of global inequality:

Data sources on recent period:

- Chancel and Piketty: World Inequality Database (WID), national g-percentile (adult), DINA distribution of gross income (combining Household Surveys national sources for advanced and big emerging countries, Povcalnet for other developing-, Tax data and National Accounts); around 160 countries with the same regional grouping as for the historical period; PPP exchange rate; 1980-2020
- Milanovic : Povcalnet (for developing countries), LIS for advanced countries (+ SILC + SEDLAC); percentile distribution of household income/consumption per capita from (unadjusted) Household Surveys; variable sample of between 130 and 140 countries, PPP exchange rate, 1990-2018
- PIP Benchmark (2023): Poverty and Inequality Platform (updated version of Povcalnet with global coverage, around 160 countries), 1980-2021

Global inequality in the 2000s: a trend reversal ?



A trend reversal ?



Recent evolution of global inequality: agreement on trend reversal but divergence on date and intensity

- Chancel & Piketty:
 - Slight increase between 1980 and 2000
 - Steep fall since 2000 (5 Gini pp drop)
 - Clear stagnation effect of the pandemic
 - Gini in 2020 at the same level as in 1880 !
- Milanovic (BM)
 - Fall started before 2000
 - Very steep decrease after an upward blip in 2003 (6/7 Gini pp drop)
 - Gini in 2018 at the same level as in 1870 or before
- PIP benchmark
 - Similar to BM except for the 2003 blip
 - Slowdown of the decline in 2015-2019 and minor reversal in 2020

... but fundamentally different structural components

Decomposition of the Theil coefficient of total inequality into:

- <u>Between inequality</u>: global inequality if all income were identical within countries
- Within inequality: global inequality if mean incomes were identical across countries



Sources of convergence and divergence

Convergence: the fall in between country inequality as the dominant global economy feature of the period – possibly a historical trend reversal

Divergence: the size and rising pace of national inequality in advanced and big emerging countries in the 1980s and 1990s differ between the two sets of estimates (see next slide)

- Higher inequality and steeper rise in C&P + magnifying effect of the extension of distribution data to National Accounts
- Together these factors over-compensate the drop in global inequality due to the between country component in C&P... unlike in the BM or PIP benchmark estimates
- These factors lessen after 2000, and the fall in the between-country inequality becoming the dominant force in the evolution of global inequality

<u>Note</u>: discrepancy between BM and PIP in within-country inequality after 2010 to be clarified

Recent evolution of national inequality: USA, UK, China a) WID data



- Pre-tax national income | Top 10% | share | adults | equal split - Pre-tax national income | Bottom 50% | share | adults | equal split Graph provided by www.wid.world



- Pre-tax national income | Top 10% | share | adults | equal split Pre-tax national income | Bottom 50% | share | adults | equal split

Graph provided by www.wid.world

b) PIP data

Income inequality, China, 1978-2019



- Pre-tax national income | Top 10% | share | adults | equal split - Pre-tax national income | Bottom 50% | share | adults | equal split Graph provided by www.wid.world







Delving deeper into the dynamics of global inequality: growth incidence curves

- 'Elephant curve' in C&P
- Almost fully downward sloping GIC in PIP suggesting unambiguous increase in social welfare
- Difference on top incomes is expected
- Surprising difference in bottom
 - Growth of mean income in household surveys in PIP below GNI per capita growth in C&P
 - Effect of the major reranking due to Chinese growth seems absent in C&P (2020 Brazil's decile 1 /1980 China's Decile 4)



Change in the shape of Growth Incidence Curves

- Original 'elephant curve' in Lakner-Milanovic (2013) (NA corrected ?)
- The shape is radically modified in later period (almost fully downward sloping)
- Elephant's trump in the 1988-208
 GIC is due to the steep increase in inequality during the 1980s and 1990s
- It disappears in the 2000s because inequality rose more slowly or stagnated, the between-country component becoming dominant

BM 2022: Global incidence curves for 1988-2008 and 2008-2018



The changing shape of the GIC in PIP/benchmark data

- Differences with BM suggests that time period matters for the shape of the GIC
- Effect of the inequality rise of the 1980s/90S quite clear and strong – even without top income correction.
- At first sight, GIC 80-00/00-19 suggest there was no unambiguous change in inequality in those periods as GIC are non-monotonic – but this is not necessarily the case and require further checking.





3. About some methodological issues in the measurement of global inequality

Three methodological dimensions:

- 1. <u>National mean economic welfare concept</u>: from pre-tax household income to GNI per capita. With population data and appropriate exchange rate this determines the between-country inequality
 - Main source = National Accounts (NA)
 - Some approximation needed to use 'per adult equivalent' or 'equivalized' income concept
- 2. <u>National sources of distribution data</u> consistent with mean welfare concept: from household survey to linkages between surveys, administrative data and NA extension.
 - Distribution feature depends on the welfare concept and data source
 - Household income survey as the most practical observation tool of 'equivalized disposable income', but there are biases
 - Other concepts can be observed through surveys (wealth, consumption) but exhibit biases
 - Correcting biases or moving to other welfare concepts ('full income') requires using ancillary data (typically tax data, National Accounts) in a not-so-obvious way
 - Issue of transparency and replicability (WID vs LIS)

.. methodological issues in the measurement of global inequality

- 3. Representation of the distribution and measure of inequality
 - Relative vs. Absolute
 - Population weight in global distribution
 - Decomposition (income, earnings, capital incomes)
 - Horizontal inequality (gender, age, education, family composition) ...)

4. What's next

Will the equalizing of global distribution continue?

- 1. The changing role of China
- 2. Will India replace China?
- 3. The key role of Sub-Saharan Africa
- 4. The climate change factor