

Sensitivity Analysis Using Different Concepts of Income

Goal

When analysing inequality, you should always utilise multiple methods when comparing different countries. Inequality measures can be affected by the indicator used or by the measure of income.

The Gini index may change enormously when it is calculated on income concepts other than disposable income (*dpi*), which is calculated after transfers and taxes. Instead, you may want to look at market income (*mi*), which is calculated before taxes and transfers. By computing a Gini index on both income concepts, you can analyse the effect of government influence on the income distribution.

Activity

Calculate the Gini index for Finland and the US in 2000 for both market income and total disposable income, after bottom and top coding as in the previous exercise.

Guidelines

- Prepare the data as you did in the previous exercise. Be careful when choosing your sample. For each of the two income concepts, there will be a different sample of “valid” values (i.e., not missing and not zero). Since we are comparing the two final measures, we want to use the same sample in the two cases. In this exercise, use the sample that drops observations for which *dpi* is zero or missing. In this case, observations with zero *mi* but “valid” *dpi* are kept.
- In this exercise, you will need to create two measures of income: an equivalised disposable income (*dpi*) as well as an equivalised market income (*mi*).
- Call the subroutine for the gini twice and make sure to define the respective income variable (*inc_var*) each time before calling the subroutine.

Program

```
title "*** INCOME DISTRIBUTION II - Exercise 14 ***" .
```

```
define keepvars ().  
    hweight d4 d5 mi gi dpi.  
!enddefine.
```

```
define prepare ().  
select if dpi ne 0 .  
select if d5 ne 3 .  
compute wt = hweight*d4 .  
weight by wt.  
!enddefine .
```

```
get file = us00h /keep = keepvars .  
prepare .  
compute inc_var = mi.  
include file = 'i:\gini-any-income.sps'.
```

```
get file = us00h /keep = keepvars .  
prepare .  
compute inc_var = dpi.  
include file = 'i:\gini-any-income.sps'.
```

```
get file = fi00h /keep = keepvars .  
prepare .  
compute inc_var = mi.  
include file = 'i:\gini-any-income.sps' .
```

```
get file = fi00h /keep = keepvars .  
prepare .  
compute inc_var = dpi.  
include file = 'i:\gini-any-income.sps' .
```

Results

Gini	<i>mi</i>	<i>dpi</i>
US 2000	0.478	0.368
Finland 2000	0.463	0.246

Comments

- The Gini index is obviously much larger when calculated on the market income than on net income, since both transfers and taxes have a redistributive purpose.
- Even though Finland remains the more equal of the two countries even if looking at the *mi* measure, the difference becomes much less evident; the much larger decrease in Gini (when going from the pre-tax and transfers to the post-tax and transfer income concept) in Finland with respect to the US, points to two completely different social systems in terms of income redistribution.
- As already mentioned, there are quite a few LIS datasets that contain net income instead of gross income data, including some large countries like France and Italy. The income data from these countries are net of taxes and thus not readily comparable to the gross income data from other countries.